



Building A Better Community



Minutes
Bel Air-Beverly Crest Neighborhood Council Monthly Board Meeting
Wednesday January 28, 2026 7:00 PM

NAME	BOARD SEAT	Present	Absent
Barcohana, Elizabeth	Private Schools Grades K-6 <i>(Re-Selected 08/27/2025)</i>	X	
Brand, Jonathan	Bel-Air Association <i>(Appointed 05/22/2024)</i>	X	
Christensen, David	Residents of Beverly Glen <i>(Appointed 09/24/2025)</i>	X	
Evans, Ellen	Community Interest At-Large (2027) / <i>VP, Leg. Affairs (Re-elected 2025)</i>		X
Goodman, MD	Bel-Air Association	X	
Greenberg, Robin	Faith-Based Institutions / <i>VP – Operations (Re-Selected 08/27/2025)</i>	X	
Hall, Jamie	Laurel Canyon Association	X	
Halsey Holmes, Kristie Ph.D.	Public Educational Institutions <i>(Appointed 08/27/2025)</i>	X	
Kadin, David Scott	Benedict Canyon Association	X	
Kamin, Aaron	North of Sunset District (2027) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>		X
Kaye, Alan	At-Large Traditional Stakeholder (2027) <i>(Elected 07/23/2025)</i>	X	
Kemp, Michael	Bel Air Hills Association <i>(Appointed 01/19/2025)</i>	X	
Kwan, Robert (Bobby)	Laurel Canyon Association	X	
Lawrence, Aaron	At-Large Traditional Stakeholder (2027) <i>(Elected 07/23/2025)</i>	X	
Levotman, Vadim	North of Sunset District (2027) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>	X	
Longcore, Travis Ph.D.	Custodians of Open Space / <i>President (Re-Selected 08/27/2025)</i>	X	
Mann, Mindy Rothstein	Benedict Canyon Association <i>(Appointed 07/2025)</i>	X	
Marble, Stacy	Private Schools Grades 7-12 <i>(Re-Selected 08/27/2025)</i>	X	
Marburg, Tad	North of Sunset District (2025) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>	X	
Miner, Nickie	Benedict Canyon Association	X	
Navab, Amir	Bel Air Ridge HOA <i>(appt.01/28/26; replacing André Stojka, now Alternate)</i>	X	
Ringler, Robert	Residents of Beverly Glen		X
Roth, Lynn	Doheny-Sunset Plaza Neighborhood Association <i>(Appointed 07/23/2025)</i>	X	
Ryan, Sandy	Casiano Estates Association <i>(Appointed 01/24/2024)</i>	X	
Sandler, Irene	Bel Air Crest Master Association		X
Savage, Stephanie	Laurel Canyon Association	X	
Schlesinger, Robert	Benedict Canyon Association	X	
Silver, Jonathan	Bel-Air Association <i>(Appointed 06/26/2024)</i>		X
Smith, Maureen	Commercial or Office Enterprise Districts (2027) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>		X
Spradlin, Jason	Holmby Hills HOA		X
Steele, Timothy Ph.D.	Bel Air Glen District (2027) / <i>Secretary (Re-elected 2025)</i>	X	
Templeton, Patricia	Bel Air Hills Association	X	
<i>Vacant Seat</i>	<i>Laurel Canyon Association</i>		0
Weinberg, Steven	Franklin-Coldwater District (2027) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>		X
Weisberg, Leslie	Bel-Air Association		X
Wickers, Alonzo	At-Large Youth Rep (2027) <i>(Re-elected 2025)</i>	X	
Total:		26	9

President Longcore provided welcoming comments to those in attendance this evening, January 28th 2026 (*not* “2025” which he apologized for being on the agenda). He noted that under the auspices of a new piece of legislation at the state level, Senate Bill 707, we now have a new set of rules, some similar (with regard to the public’s right to provide public comment and the responsibility of the Neighborhood Council for the operation of the system such that the public can fully engage in the meeting). He noted that one change in the rules, that is significantly different, calls for roll-call votes for motions made at video conference meetings. He discussed this with the city, as there are many votes that could potentially take place for any given motion, and they accepted that the required rollcall votes could be limited to “final” actions only.

Dr. Longcore also provided instructions on recusing oneself, that if you have to recuse on an item, at the online meeting, you would mute yourself and turn off your camera, and participate only if you would like, as a member of the public during public comment on that item. After explaining the process for this meeting and expressing his appreciation for the participation and engagement of those present at this meeting, which has a lot of business on the agenda, he called the meeting to order at **7:09 PM**. Following the recitation of the pledge of allegiance to the flag, Secretary Steele called the roll, with quorum met.

Dr. Longcore introduced and welcomed new board member, Amir Navab, taking the place of long-time board member, André Stojka. Dr. Longcore shared his great appreciation for knowing and working with André, and for his good cheer and perspective on things over the years, and that we should all probably reach out and thank him for his many, many contributions and time.

Amir Navab introduced himself as President of Bel Air Ridge Association for four years, and recalled action with members of the BABCNC with regards to a gating issue several years ago.

Board members who announced recusals: Members Kadin, Miner, Schlesinger and Kaye are recusing themselves from item #8, and Members Ryan and Barcohana, from item #9, all as neighbors who are potentially impacted by the proposal, as well as Member Savage, who recused herself from #9 citing the Mulholland Specific Plan, being on the MDRB.

1. Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve the December 17, 2025 (**Attachment B**) Meeting Minutes **passed** by 23-0-2 with the two abstentions from Navab and Templeton, as moved by Kemp.
[The November minutes (Attachment A) was noted to have been approved previously.]

2. General Public Comment

Bernard Parks Jr., noted that he is with the “Sepulveda Corridor Transit Partners” and, in light of Metro's decision to approve the modified version of Alternative 5 for the Sepulveda corridor project, he wanted us to know that he is ready and willing to provide us with a presentation regarding the project whenever we can set up a date.
Email: bcparks@bechtel.com

Randy Dodge thanked everyone here for whatever we could do regarding 2166 and 2170 Stanley Hills and noted that he was sorry the BABCNC could not communicate with the Planning Commission about it. He hopes things go in favor of his community. He also thanked a board member working on legislation against building on substandard streets, citing various safety concerns, particularly having lived through the 1959 fire in Laurel Canyon, when he and the residents could not get out by car but had to walk out.

Marlene H told the Board that “we all appreciate you.” She asked if there is anything we as a community can do about where Sepulveda passes underneath the 405 and has burned four to five times in the last 15 years, and referenced the 2017 Skirball fire which flames she could see out of her window.

Dr. Berry Deirmenjian unwittingly spoke on item #9 at this point. Dr. Longcore noted that this comment would be brought to the agenda item below and acknowledged the existing condition the doctor spoke on.

Sandra Carradine expressed her appreciation for all the attention that the BABCNC had paid to the project at 2166 and 2170 Stanley Hills and echoed thanks from others to the NC Board, for our efforts. She noted that any neighbors present in opposition, such as Randy Dodge, are continuing to move the ball down the street.

3. **Updates from Elected Officials and Agencies**

Kenneth Miller, Office of Councilmember Katy Yaroslavsky

Kenneth gave an update beginning with the biggest news that the Metro Board of Directors approved the “Modified Alternative 5” option for the Sepulveda Transit Corridor (STC) project. He noted that Councilwoman Yaroslavsky is concerned and wants to pay a lot of attention to all the noise, tunneling and vibration, and introduced a motion because the modified plan has not gone forth with public comment ahead of the EIR process about the STC. He said he was out in the district counting in the homeless count and thanked everyone who went out to and participated in that. Kenneth noted, regarding a council debate about police hiring and maintaining funding for police, that City Council approved \$25M for the rest of the year, making sure it doesn’t cut civilian services. Member Levotman asked how many officers are slated to be hired this year. Kenneth later responded that there are 412 new LAPD recruits.

Stakeholder questions to Kenneth: Beth noted that she lives near Beverly Glen and that we are taken aback that the new Modified Alternative 5 came out, not part of the DEIR, and looks like new legality questions to go ahead with it. She appreciates Councilmember Yaroslavsky’s comments, that the councilmember was the only one who recognized that her community would be impacted. Beth wanted to clarify about community involvement noting that the motion is vaguely worded that there will be a community engagement plan, especially the initial segment, and asked if this was being addressed by the motion as it seemed vague. Kenneth said he would reach out to Jarrett about this. **Andre Leb** asked if this project would connect to the bullet train. Kenneth was not aware of a specific connection, if referring to California highspeed rail.

Amanda Laflen, LA County SD3, Office of Supervisor Lindsay Horvath

Mandy reported that the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors unanimously approved a motion by Supervisor Horvath and Chair Hilda Solis, initiating the development of an ordinance to establish ICE-free zones across county-owned and county-controlled properties. The Board action directs County Council to draft and return an ordinance for Board consideration within 30 days. The motion follows a federal immigration enforcement action conducted in October 2025 at Dean Dana Friendship Park and Nature Center and Ellie County Park in San Pedro, which disrupted public access and interfered with county operations. As federal civil immigration enforcement increasingly occurs in public spaces nationwide, the approved motion begins the formal

legislative process to ensure county property is being used solely for its intended purposes, and not used as staging areas, processing locations, or operations spaces for unauthorized civil immigration enforcement. If adopted by the Board, the proposed ordinance would prohibit county-owned and county-controlled property from being used for unauthorized civil law enforcement activities, require clear signage on county properties, establish a permit process for civil enforcement operations, and preserve lawful criminal law enforcement and the execution of valid judicial warrants.

Mandy also noted that the County Board of Supervisors affirmed the County's global commitment to climate action, as President Trump announces the U.S.'s withdrawal from 66 international agreements, including major environmental organizations; LA County affirmed its commitment to global climate action. The Board approved Supervisor Horvath's motion, underscoring that LA County will not backslide on environmental protections that safeguard public health, economic stability, and the future of the region's 10 million residents. Instead, the County will continue advancing bold climate policies aligned with the Paris Agreement and pursue deeper collaboration with national and international climate coalitions. Supervisor Horvath's motion directs the County to deepen engagement with the international climate organizations, inventory progress towards climate goals, and ensure continued investment in climate resilience, affirming that LA County remains all-in on climate action.

Erica G. Doughty, Neighborhood Empowerment Advocate with the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment aka EmpowerLA: Erica noted that tomorrow they're holding an Empowering Communities, Understanding Neighborhood Councils and Stakeholders' Role in the City Budget Advocacy virtual workshop from 6.30 p.m. to 8 p.m. DONE and the Budget Advocates will go through the city budget process, how we all can amplify our voices, and share the priorities of our community. On Saturday, January 31st, 10 a.m. to noon, there will be a workshop on etiquette, communication, creating healthy board dynamics. She sent us an email with announcements including with the increased number of her neighborhood councils, she won't be staying for the duration of the meeting; however, if we have questions, concerns, please feel free to reach out to her. We should have her email and work cell, she is available.

Sophia Liebman, Office of Senator Ben Allen – Sophia related that the legislature reconvened on January 5th, and with that comes new committee assignments. She listed the Senator's committees, some of which include that he serves on and is Chair of the Senate Energy, Utilities, and Communications Committee; he is a member of the Environmental Quality Committee, Natural Resources Committee, Judiciary Committee, Emergency Management Committee, and remains Chair of the Joint Committee on the Arts and the Chair of the Special Committee on International Sporting Events.

They have officially introduced their first new bill of the year: SB 894, new proposal to create a state-backed, low-interest loan program for home hardening and wildfire mitigation. The goal is to help homeowners afford critical safety upgrades, such as fire-resistant retrofits. The Senator is also co-authoring several key insurance bills. One is SB 877, which would require insurance companies to provide claim-related documents to policyholders within 15 days. The legislation would also require insurers to disclose changes to repair estimates, and who approved them and why. He co-authored SB 878, which would hold insurers accountable if they miss deadlines for claims payments by

requiring them to pay 20% interest annually. Companies would also have to submit a report to the insurance department that proves they are compliant with timely payments and requirements. And another important bill that the Senator is co-authoring is SB 222 which aims to accelerate the adoption of electric heat pumps by streamlining the permitting process, capping fees, and prohibiting HOA restrictions. It supports the state's goals of installing 6 million heat pumps by 2030 to reduce emissions and energy costs. They are finalizing their full-bill package; she will be back in the coming months to share more bills that they are introducing. Sophia concluded that their high school internship application for this semester is open. The program runs March 2nd to May 18th and helps build leadership skills and institutional knowledge about our state government. She asked us to please encourage any high school students to apply.

<https://sd24.senate.ca.gov/district/internship> Questions were asked and answered.

4. **President's Report** - Dr. Longcore wanted to say that we receive and manage calls that come in of people who kind of do not know where or how to address an issue that they're facing in their community. They hear about the Neighborhood Council, we get an email, and he is able to then reach out and connect them often with, Mandy if it's a county issue, or Kenneth, or Sidney, at CD5 and CD4 respectively, and he wanted to acknowledge how much constituent services work; which they do very quickly, for folks who come to us. It's not things that never come to the board, it is just something that we're doing, and behind the scenes that happens, and we're thankful for that. It's the nuts and bolts of running a city and connecting up and making the bureaucracy manageable, so I just wanted to thank them both since they're here tonight, for those actions.

Member Barcohana noted that in in her area, the exit the Skirball exit off the 405 has multiple signs that say AJU which is no longer there, the concern for parents that it may be pointing to individuals to target. She needs help getting those signs taken down.

5. **Monthly Expenditure Report**

Motion to approve the December 2025 Monthly Expenditure Report (**Attachment C**) **passed** 18-0-0 with 12 absences and 5 ineligible to vote on funding matters.

Items #6 & #7 were deferred to later in the meeting, then to the next meeting due to time.

6. **Funding Expenditure (for Outreach Events)**

Discussion and Possible Motion to purchase two (2) fitted tablecloths at \$390.00 each at \$780.00 (inclusive of tax) from Extreme Canopy Inc. Quote #SQ310637 (**Attachment D**)

7. **Budget Advocates Update – Leslie Weisberg, Budget Representative**

Discussion and Possible Motion to contribute up to \$500 for the Budget Advocates for Budget Day There is also an online BA event for January 29th. (**Attachment E**)

Old Business

8. **San Ysidro Haul Route/Traffic Issues**

Discussion and Possible Motion: That BABCNC request specific actions from the City of Los Angeles regarding resolution of traffic and disturbance issues arising from the use of San Ysidro Drive as an access for construction vehicles and workers for Beverly Park Estates. (**Attachment F**)

Dr. Longcore introduced Item #8, noting that this is an ongoing issue regarding the gates at Beverly Park Estates, where there are a number of construction projects and people come to access the construction before the gates open in the morning. They had been queuing up on Mulholland, causing big problems on Mulholland. Now they are queuing up on San Ysidro, causing big problems on San Ysidro. Dr. Longcore noted that the Council Office knows about this, and we have a number of rightfully concerned community members engaging. He welcomed Kenneth Miller, representing Council District 5 to give us a report on what's happened from the perspective of the City, what the City has laid out that it thinks it can or might do and what ways forward and noted that we will then take public comment and then discuss it.

Kenneth gave a report on what the city has laid out on what it thinks it can and cannot do. He thanked all those who reached out to him on this. He noted that he has been back and forth with the City Attorney at LADOT, and, because Beverly Park owns their streets, the covenant that they wrote is not enforceable by us; we are limited and do not have the ability to enforce; we can look for other ways to leverage and are now investigating what is the responsibility of individual homeowners if their activity causes problems with traffic and how to get them to not have construction on either Mulholland or San Ysidro.

He noted that the office would like to find solutions to *not* have construction workers lining up either Mulholland or San Ysidro, causing problems for neighbors, noting that both areas are unacceptable. He would be happy to talk about specific ideas people might have and noted that Haley Martinez brought together Beverly Park and some neighbors to talk, and they enforced some people double-parking on Mulholland. He said if we see people illegally parked... double parking, etc., that's something they can get enforcement to look at; it is the construction workers... and they would like to try to get them to change their behavior. He noted that while that hits the construction workers, it doesn't really hit the root of the problem, going to the construction company and not Beverly Park. They are trying to find creative ways of addressing it, and he is happy to talk more about it and looks forward to this conversation. |

Public Comment on Item #8:

Saniya Kazmi Bloomer noted that she and her husband are at the top of San Ysidro; she cleaned up snack garbage today and the other day the Uber could not get to their home. While they are not illegally parking, they are idling and creating a big traffic jam; they get out of their trucks talking at 6:00 am. She asked why we can't share this with Mulholland and noted that this situation has not worked for over a year; the situation has been getting worse. She feels it is unfair that Mulholland is not facing this anymore.

Nickie Miner noted that she was speaking as a private citizen, not representing any of the organizations to which she belongs. Nickie explained that a covenant and legal agreement were signed on the project when Beverly Park was first proposed, which stated that all construction trucks are to take Mulholland in perpetuity and for almost 40 years that's what's happened. It's been respected. There were no construction trucks that went up San Ysidro that went to Beverly Park. Only those trucks that were outside of Beverly Park came up San Ysidro... Everybody was supposed to go via Mulholland, a flat, wide stretch of highway, and they did. And now they are on a hilly, long, narrow, curving drive with homes abutting the street on both sides of the street, whereas there are no homes abutting the street up on the wide Mulholland Highway. She noted that they were very respectful.

The whole situation worked just fine for almost 4 decades and asked what made it change. Suddenly, the construction trucks and the workers decided to make their own new haul route and come up San Ysidro... in addition to being a safety concern and a public nuisance, they themselves put themselves in danger because there is no exit at the top of San Ysidro. The top of San Ysidro meets with a street called Summit Ridge, and that is where the driveways are that are not the driveways, they're the Summit Ridge driveways. So, if there's some emergency, everyone who's up there will not be able to get down or get out. It'll be a turnaround and go back down San Ysidro, creating a horrendous situation in an emergency whereas on Mulholland, yes, everybody knows the Mulholland situation, but at least there are more ways to get out, and it's a wider road, and it's not at the dead end of a situation the way they are if they're up on Summit Ridge.

Robert Schlesinger, also speaking as a private citizen, and not representing BABCNC, stated that he agreed with Nickie, and the problem is with the trucks (recusing himself.)

Dr. Longcore explained, as Kenneth said earlier, the city does *not* have standing to enforce the covenant. Kenneth responded that that is the information he has received that we do not have any ability to enforce anything a part of the covenant. It is a private covenant between neighbors, so the city has no role in it.

Saniya Kazmi Bloomer said she'd like our feedback on the suggestion made by herself and Nickie, that they should be going back up to Mulholland, because it feels like we're waiting for an accident or disaster to happen before taking any action on San Ysidro. Kenneth Miller replied that the only reason that they seem to have moved is because we enforced double parking and that sort of thing. He noted that Travis had said that before his time here, all the residents of Mullholland came and complained about the same thing, so, he is happy to send people out and enforce violations when they occur, but it feels like it's just going to keep ping-ponging unless we address it fully. So, he wants to try to find a permanent solution that gets better behavior for both routes.

Steven Weinberg pointed out that Mulholland has a higher density of traffic; you've got people going from Coldwater all the way to the 405 and back. It is a very high-capacity roadway, so if you block that, you're going to block hundreds, maybe thousands of people a day, whereas if you block, San Ysidro, it's a lot smaller; to take into account, because when you block Mulholland, you're going to create a huge...(cut off)

Mindy Rothstein Mann asked (speaking as an individual, having recused herself as a representative of the BABCNC on this matter), as to finding a solution, since neither road is particularly great, if we have any idea how many actual projects are going on at any given time, like now, and if there's any way of limiting how many projects go on simultaneously, since they do not seem to want to let these trucks park within the limits of Beverly Park. Kenneth noted that he doesn't have statistics, but that's something they are looking into in general, in the hillsides, because with multiple construction sites at the same time in a small distance, especially in the hills, it gets very busy, there's lots of parking issues, it's a huge mess, basically. Mindy noted that she had this situation on Hutton with several *projects* all at the same time.

Motion: Dr. Longcore moved that we write a letter to CD5 requesting that this problem be solved, and that this is the position of the NC that this is a problem that has to be

solved; second, it is the position of the neighborhood council that that the city pursue any legal means to ensure this problem gets solved; and, third, that the problem gets solved both for San Ysidro and Mulholland; it is not acceptable that either of these routes that lead up to this private development bear the burden of that private development's behaviors. He thinks we could do this as a NC and thinks it respects the people who have come to us, both from Mulholland and San Ysidro, and gives the Councilwoman something to be able to go and engage at the highest level with the leadership of that private development. This is something that the city needs to solve, it is not something that's acceptable. He is sure there are other private remedies that individuals could pursue, and probably should, but if they want to avoid that, they need to solve this problem. The motion was **seconded** by Member Templeton. The motion **passed** 21-0-0 with 10 absent and 4 recused.

New Business

9. **2785 N CASIANO ROAD; 2791 N CASIANO ROAD; 2845 N CASIANO ROAD
Milken Community School E Campus (AKA 15600 Mulholland)**

CPC-2025-3449-CU3-SPPC-DRB-MSP ENV-2025-3450-CE

<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/CPC-2025-3449-CU3-SPPC-DRB-MSP>

Case Filed: 06/18/2025 & Assigned: 07/22/2025; Staff Assigned: Tiffany Corrales

Applicant: Tarryn Breskal [Milken Community School] tbreskal@milkenschool.org

Rep: Mark Armbruster [Armbruster Goldsmith & Delvac LLP] mark@agd-landuse.com

Project Description:-

~~Class 3 CUP for Proposed High School. Interior Renovations with Minor Exterior Alterations~~

Updated Project Description:

Class 3 CUP for Proposed High School. **Reuse of existing facilities with no exterior improvements**

Action Requested:

- Class 3 CUP to permit change of use for the operation of a religious high school;
- No construction proposed
- MDRB & Specific Plan compliance

PLUC Motion: To recommend approving the project with the condition that a mechanism for communication between the community, neighbors, and the school be set up by Milken that Milken can demonstrate to the full board; the Milken team will set up a formal communication mechanism between the community, HOA and individuals.

Mark Armbruster, introduced Sarah Shulkin, to speak, and in light of the time, Sarah Drobis, Carlos and he would be available for questions. Sarah, Head of School, presented the project, orienting everyone. The presentation included a map and note that a major concern of theirs is safety, security, and fire prevention as well as emergency preparedness. They shared some of their upgrades, discussed the Emergency Evacuation route, noting that they've worked with colleagues at the other schools, and in an emergency they would be able to open up to 4 lanes. She spoke to traffic concerns raised by some neighbors, particularly the left turn into the campus... and how they would minimize the impact to the neighborhood overall. She showed a diagram of an intended plan, noting that one goal was to get cars off Casiano as fast as they can, in the drop off

zone. Buses and student parkers will come into the area parked in blue. They will make sure to not be on Casiano Road any more than possible. They'll pull off Casiano right away. They have extrapolated when 900 as well as 500 students. Working with Steven Wise, both Milken and Wise are committed to staggering, working with their team and getting feedback on their traffic demand management system, implementing traffic coordinators, ensuring drop off and pick up lanes are maintained. She reported that they are committed to strong communication, with an emphasis on ingress and egress driveways and noted that without a parking permit, you are not allowed to park on campus. She discussed Milken's extensive emergency evacuation plan, outreach with the community and mechanism for ongoing communication with the community.

Mr. Armbruster related that the entitlement request is for a new CUP to allow the high school use at the property. He noted that AJU currently has a CUP; they have not been very active for the last couple of years, for various educational purposes including college students, night school, high school, etc., but working with the Planning Department, it would be best to file for a brand-new CUP for just high school use. They have provided documentation to the City to demonstrate that the Categorical Exemption is for improvements to an existing facility and the other is for an infill project, both of which he believes apply in this case. All the improvements need to be within the existing academic building and student union, no additional building, and no square footage. Only the two existing buildings. The school has no plans to use the dormitory space. They would have to apply for an additional permit if they chose to.

[Member Wickers left the meeting at 8:32 PM.]

Public comment on agenda item 9, was begun by Mona Cohen, Alina Vartani and Ameet Keny: Mona **Cohen** spoke on her background and noted her goal is to work collaboratively with Milken. She mentioned issues with evacuation plan, traffic report and increased traffic congestion, other questions as to dormitories and flat-land development. They feel approval of this application is premature and would request that this is postponed to next month's meeting. Mona introduced **Alina Vartany**, who said we need more time before approval. The previous CUP was for 500 students, but reality is that the number is closer to 100. CEQA requires impacts to be based on actual not on inflated assumptions. She noted that the study submitted for this project appears to rely on an enrollment figure of 1,400 students. She discussed traffic flow. Emergency planning, noting that Mulholland is already congested, and discussed Milken plans for up to 12 buses, (discussed the various entry and exit points). She noted that the analysis does not consider the 300 Mountain Gate homes that evacuated via Casiano Road during the 2017 Skirball fire, and during an emergency, Milken, Wise, Mountain Gate, Bel Air Crest, and Bel Air Park will all funnel onto Casiano Road and Mulholland, where multiple roads collapse into a single lane. Once fire starts it is just chaos. She discussed multiple ways to reduce project impact and asked the Board to postpone the vote. Alina introduced **Ameet Keny**, who thanked everyone for being here, and noted that he is a concerned resident whose property abuts into the university. He suggested proposed solutions including but not limited to staggered times, noting that it is important to try to reduce the number of cars that come, especially in the morning, at the same time. Having the students exit from Lot 1 instead of entering from Lot 1 not only will reduce the amount of risk of backing up traffic on Casiano Road, and therefore Mulholland but all of the queuing will happen on the campus, and the footprint of the students leaving the

campus in the community will be reduced, because when they leave from Lot 1, they'll be exiting closer to Mulholland, and won't be exiting Lot 4, which is inside the community, and they would have had to drive by the kiosk and the stop sign. And there are lots of little children who are dismounting the buses in the afternoons right near that stop sign. So, if they were to exit from Lot 1, then they would not encounter those children, and there would be far lower risk of an accident.

Ameet noted that the third idea they had was an agreed-upon maximum in the number of students that are added to their enrollment every year. This idea correlates with Milken's plans to gradually increase enrollment. Because this slow increase will allow us to study the impact of higher populations on the schools, the traffic, and the neighborhood. He noted that their community should subject that to annual reviews, so they know how many people we are adding, and what impact did it have on security and safety to ensure that those things are not compromised? He noted that we all have similar interests in ensuring security and safety for the schools and homeowners; we would like to continue to share our ideas and solutions with them, with the goal of memorializing an agreement that appeals to everybody. He noted his community does not object to their application being approved once they have some agreements that will mitigate the risks, improve the livability for the homeowners, and delineate a detailed emergency evacuation plan. He asked if this Council elects to recommend approval of the application for a new CUP, that it be approved on the condition that Milken, Wise, and Bel Air Park reach a mutually beneficial agreement prior to Milken's presentation before City Planning Commission.

Dr. Berry Deirmenjian spoke (at the top of the meeting under public comment) on buses stopping every day by his house next to Stephen S. Wise, parking illegally, stopping traffic on both sides, creating a big mess, which condition exists, and he worries that this will be worsened with this planned project. He noted that the response of Wise is that anything outside the gates is not their problem.

Bitas iPhone Cameron spoke on the value of Milken in multiple ways.

Afshin Shargahani noted that he agrees with Bitas and pointed out that they don't have problems with Milken but with the number of people they want to have here, to go from 540 to 900. The problem is how many people they want here not their good character.

Ben Arden spoke in full support of the application; believes in the investments in safety that were not here before sees them as a true asset for protections for all of us.

Sally Triphon spoke in strong support of the CUP's approval and urged a vote in favor.

Terry Koosed expressed complete support for the expansion, Terry lives in Bel Air Park. He opined that the recommendations for changing the drive in and car park represented by Alina did not make sense and is not as safe as what we have seen already presented by the Milken people. He thinks it is important that we do everything we can to maximize the free flow of traffic in our neighborhood. He also pointed out that his neighborhood is now asking for another extension before there's a vote about this, and he is 100% against which further delays he is 100% against further delays in the vote for this because his neighborhood has had more than a year to work with Milken, and Milken has put out their hand time and time again to meet with our community, to work through problems,

and to resolve issues to come together. And after more than a year, our community has not been able to make those fruitful efforts. He noted that what worries him now is they're asking for a month's delay, and a paper contract that gives those people, which are a minority in our community, a veto. They can just not sign a contract, and they can hold this project up forever. He is against them having that opportunity to do so. So, if they want to take a 30-day extension, and they can't come to a resolution, he believes Milken should be granted whatever this NC wants to recommend, as far as approvals, so this project can move ahead.

David Siegel (JLA) said that he is incredibly in favor of this. As part of the community for decades and his wife for 50 years, he has seen how Milken manages issues like traffic etc. He stated that the school operates with incredible integrity; they follow their commitments, and that is why this community is behind them. It allows Milken to continue providing superb learning environments and opportunities for our kids and so many around this city. The impact they're making is phenomenal.

Lise Applebaum was here in support of Milken's CUP application, especially in today's climate. She noted that Milken has provided so many children, including her own, with a safe and nurturing environment where they feel protected and connected to who they are. Milken leads with strong values, including respect for its neighbors and the surrounding community. She feels that commitment is evident in the school's thoughtful approach to safety, clear communication, and its proactive, responsive emergency management, and that these efforts demonstrate care for our students as well as for the broader neighborhood. She hopes we will support their application and allow them to continue with this important work.

Leeanne M, a homeowner in Bel Air for over 15 years who has an 11th grader at Milken, echoed that concerns about the delays on a vote for this, especially giving other people veto power. She urged a vote to support them.

Lenny Dunn, a Milken trustee, parent and resident, spoke in favor of Milken's record and hopes we vote yes.

Saul R. Smith, a proud alumna parent, has full confidence in Milken and urged recommendation of approval of the CUP application.

Marlene H expressed her appreciation of all the things that Milken has done, is doing, and plans to do, for the good of the neighborhood and the community but, speaking as a person who will be affected by this traffic on a day-to-day basis, she feels we need a well-designed plan coordinated by the fire department, the police department, and traffic control for an emergency to get us all out of here if need be. She said that she has asked several times about an official plan, but it still has not been addressed by Milken. She keeps asking, bringing it up, and does not get anywhere. She trusts Milken and that it will all work out, that they have good intentions, but feels it is not where we need to be. We need more. She hopes the Council will be able to ask for more to get us where we need to be to move forward.

Sharona Hanasab expressed strong support for the Milken application, appreciates the care that Milken takes with the property and enhancements, and urged a vote in favor.

Dave Chariton noted that it is incredible to work with the leadership at Milken, whom he thinks is taking safety seriously. He has total confidence that Milken will be a great neighbor who takes care of the neighborhood; and urged a vote to move forward.

Marcia Mankoff is full support of this application.

Nesim “Niso” Hason feels it is a blessing to have this community so close to us, that that we should be expediting the CUP.

Sharon Manipur spoke in favor of the project.

Sam Sanandaji lives very close to the campus and stated that nobody is disparaging Milken on their impact on the community, but when it comes to our homes, assets, livelihoods, in case of a Palisades type situation, we need to have concrete planning. He asked, how are we going to address the problem; how are we going to have 4 lanes when we have 2 lanes? And how will all the associations be able to go out to Mulholland? He noted that until we get that with no excuses, we won't be able to have peace of mind.

Soraya Afar agrees on value; the question is to be allowed to increase from 500 to 900 students. She asked, why not start with 500 see how they do, come back in two years, and see to raise the number to 700; however, we are going from 100 to 900 in a couple of years. She noted that this is about people who live in Casiano, not the other streets.

Sharona lives on Casiano Road and spoke for postponement of the CUP application. She noted that the people who spoke about loving Milken must vote based on precedent and facts not on how much you love the institution. She asks for more time to do more studies and come up with a solution to work with them.

Dora Manavi, a 29-year resident of Bel Air Park, fully supports Milken, for values, but as a homeowner in the neighborhood she will be directly impacted and is very concerned about evacuation plans, as none have been presented to them. She noted that they have been in communication for a year, but she saw numbers in their traffic plan only given two weeks ago and some numbers were incorrect. She noted that AJU has never had 1000 students on the campus and asked why we are requesting more time. The most cars that are going are 100, so we cannot say a baseline of 1,000 students; it has decreased to 100. So, it is not 900... it has never been 1,000 students on the campus; that is why we are requesting more time, for concrete reasons why they can accommodate.

Armen noted that Sarah and team are presenting a plan, but they might not be here five years from now. We need an agreement, in writing, so efforts they are making for traffic will be here five years from now or see some confidence that these things will happen other than a PP Presentation.

Karen Furie noted that she is a 13-year resident of Casiano Road and was very excited that Milken is here and not EF; however, felt that we need to come up with a situation that is a win for us, and a win for them. She agreed with the first three speakers that presented on the neighborhood's behalf, as well as Afshin, Sam, Sharona, Dora, and Armin, and thinks we need to come up with a very solid plan in writing that we can all live with. She thinks 900 students is going to be unwieldy for our neighborhood, and we

need to figure out how to make Milken get the maximum they can have, and us to have the maximum safety we can have with numbers that make sense.

Rita Kashani expressed concern if you approve the 900 students, and in the event of a fire, or earthquake, or any other emergency, evacuation will be extremely difficult if not impossible. Casiano Road has only two narrow lanes for exiting, and Mulholland itself is already heavily congested so residents would struggle to escape. She asked for a gradual increase to protect everyone. As a homeowner, as a parent, and a member of this community, she urged us to consider more time and for a more solid plan.

Beth Gall, on her husband Kenneth's computer, noted that she is a Bel Air resident, and a Milken parent alum who has a grandchild at Stephen Wise, who likely will want to matriculate to Milken. They are delighted to have Milken. However, she supports a postponement for time to work with Milken on an enforceable private agreement, like many other schools, as Mona mentioned, Archer, Brentwood, Buckley. She reiterated that this Board is the same that approved a 400 CUP with Education First several years ago. She noted that she loves Milken, a great institution, and is delighted to have them, but thinks that we all have to do our due diligence to make sure that everything works properly and protects this neighborhood.

Matt Waterman noted that he lives off Mulholland; he is a Milken parent of a middle schooler and a high schooler and is an alum of the school. He finds benefit in having Milken in this hillside area. He noted that the campus now is serving as a training facility for the LAPD, and Milken works closely with both the LAPD and the LAFD to strengthen and coordinate safety in the corridor. He thinks we have seen a lot of trust that people have in Milken here tonight, and through integrity and commitment. He thinks they have what it takes to get this done, their request for a phased enrollment increase is reasonable and appropriate and delaying it any further, kicks the can down the road and doesn't address anything. He thinks they've really extended their hands and done this the right way, and we should allow them to move forward with it.

Motion from PLU committee to recommend approving the project with conditions that Milken has shown at the meeting as to mechanism between them and the neighbors was **moved** by PLU Co-Chair Kemp/Committee.

Kemp noted that we put conditions that look like Milken has shown that they have been meeting as far as a mechanism for communication between the community and neighbors. Dr. Longcore noted that we are an advisory body, to advise the city that the neighborhood council supports the application with the contingency of them creating an established communication process with the neighborhood.

Extensive board discussion was held beginning with support from Member Miner, questions from Member Templeton: as to traffic, as shown on a video, sent to the Planning Department, showing traffic backed up all the way from the Milken *West* Campus to Skirball Center Drive, over the bridge, over the Mulholland Bridge into Skirball Center Drive, to which Ms. Shulkind noted that Milken West is a pinch point, because it's both upper school and middle school parents, and middle school kids don't drive. Ms. Shulkind described various other conditions where there may be a backup. Questions were asked and answered by Ms. Shulkind, including that they don't want cars

queuing either. And they have to turn in a TDM study to LA Department of Transportation, which will account for their TDM measures, and they are proactively adding an eighth bus route, thinking about what carpool measures are needed.

Asked how they arrived at their information, she noted they extrapolated, based on the current count to 540, and then again to 900 and Mark Armbruster noted that Los Angeles Department of Transportation is approving those studies. He noted that the traffic consultant here tonight verified there should not be any backup either way, in the *Mall (?)* Hall and East Campus, because they have created pick up and drop off on the property.

Member Templeton thinks the residents would like actual metrics to be met and concrete solutions. Member Mann noted that comments were just made about the traffic, and she believes the elementary school has about half of the projected 900, about 400 or 500 students... She understands why people who live up there are concerned about what the traffic is going to be like. She noted that there is also all the morning traffic of people going back and forth along Mulholland also, so you've got to take that into consideration as well. So, it's very heavily trafficked in that area, because you're right before the turnoff to get onto the 405. She expects that they do not live there to know how bad it is, and recalled how no matter how good Harvard Westlake was, it was always a nightmare. She understands why this community would want more concrete plans worked out.

Member Weinberg asked for the status on whatever drafts have been drawn up between them and the community because he does not want to give the neighborhood veto power over this whole thing by not signing something. He asked where Ms. Shulkind is with that to which she responded that they have held about 16 different community meetings, and starting 8 months to a year ago, being interested in getting in writing what the neighborhood has wanted. They do not have that, so they are doing their best to respond to requests through modifying their plans, but there is no draft of a written agreement. She noted that they are totally committed to continuing to work with the neighborhood and address the BABCNC's land use committee's concerns between that meeting and this one, but there's been no drafts, no written requests from the neighborhood, or no drafts circulated. They are requesting that it gets approved this evening, with the understanding that, they will continue to work with the neighborhood and submit a written TDM plan, as Mark Amberster

Member Navab noted that everyone is very well-intentioned here, and trying to get to a yes, and he wondered if the committee making the recommendation has had a longer, deeper, in-depth presentation, and gone over this many, many times. He'd like to hear, because he does not think we are ever going to get a written agreement, because that gives veto, and that is a difficult thing to do, but asked what the hesitation was about doing an incremental approval.

Co-Chair Kemp explained that the PLU Committee did review this very thoroughly, and with quite a presentation and the issue did come up about doing increments but a conditional use is a very arduous process, so he thinks the majority of the committee felt it was a burdensome on Milken to say, we'll approve you for 400, come back in 2 years for another 200, come back in another 2 years, etc. He noted that we were looking at, what does a school feel they need to be successful and asked, hypothetically, will they ever get to 900 students? They may not. So, to make them come back, let's say, every 2

years or so, for a conditional use. They would constantly be in a conditional use process, then, from now maybe another 5, 6 years. That was the consensus of the committee at the time. Steven Weinberg noted that we were also thinking it would naturally ramp up, just as how enrollment would increase every year. There would be a natural tendency for the enrollment to ramp up

Board Member, Dr. Kristie Halsey Holmes, noted that she has found in her experience that what was a 28-minute commute (albeit in a different direction) is now 1 hour and 20 minutes. But that a discussion about backup on Mulholland matters, and an additional 500 students is a lot of cars, and we don't have a good traffic plan.

Member Christensen asked Ms. Shulkind if they investigated what the max enrollment was at AJU to which Ms. Shulkind noted that the CUP had different numbers of students at different times a day... There were only 100 to 150 kids on that campus which would not be sustainable for them, noting you cannot run a campus of 22 acres with 120 students, or even with 540 students; they bought it understanding that it would take a higher student count to be able to cover costs. They brought in the very best consultants, thinking through all the different scenarios and noted that they will obviously continue to work together. He asked about his concern on trying to get a written agreement in place who is or are the counterpart parties and HOAs and different groups. So, trying to get this process through could take forever and it seemed to him that the school is very willing to work with folks. Mark Armbruster noted that they are committed to continuing to work between now and City Planning Commission *meeting* on written conditions of approval. He expects the hearing with the CPC won't be for at least another two to three months.

Dr. Longcore reflected that there's probably not going to be a bilateral community agreement on this project but that they are open to adding things into the application and the conditional use that become enforceable through that conditional use permit that represent the concerns of the neighborhood and that is their preferred mechanism for incorporating the issues that have been raised by the people most directly affected tonight. Is that correct? Mr. Armbruster agreed absolutely.

Dr. Longcore reiterated that the question for this board is, we have a motion that's been presented to recommend approval of the project with the condition that there's a mechanism for communication between the community neighbors and the school that's set up to formalize communication between the community and the school, the HOA, and individuals. And they presented a series of things that meets that.

Dr. Longcore acknowledged that Mr. Armbruster was saying that the CUP serves as the codification of their commitment to the community that is enforceable. Mr. Armbruster confirmed Longcore's observation and interpretation that they are not open to entering into a bilateral agreement with the neighborhood for reasons that include that that would give certain people veto power and most likely they would go from one continuance to another.

Member Kadin asked how if at all the Sepulveda Corridor project would affect any of these issues but noted it would be years before that ever came to fruition and got through the environmental process.

Member Roth called for the vote; however, Dr. Longcore recognized Svetlana, who wanted to give public comment, before moving on to a vote.

Svetlana mentioned congestion and the private agreement signed 40 years ago regarding San Ysidro, how everything was good until now, when there is a construction nuisance, and if we could imagine the nuisance the community will be facing on a daily basis with 900-plus people on their narrow streets. She also referred to the gentleman who mentioned at the beginning of this meeting how they walked on foot during the 1959 fire in the Laurel Canyon area and that there was a total chaos once the fire starts. She noted that another lady stated it's hard to get out on San Ysidro, blah blah blah, and imagine they don't even have six schools on Mulholland, and now with Milken's 900 CUP request, imagine that.

Svetlana noted that Member Weinberg says Mulholland is very congested as is, and that we mentioned that the committee cares and wants to take action by writing a letter to the city about a relatively tiny congestion issue for San Ysidro and Mulholland, and now we are considering voting on the 900 CUP to proceed. She noted that Milken stated that they held 16 community meetings, but only two were done within her immediately adjacent community. She noted that Milken gave short notice and made the meeting at 5.30, so many homeowners were still at work, and limited the meeting to a little over an hour. She stated that almost no one spoke who spoke in support of Milken's application here lives within the immediate Bel Air Park/Casiano community. She noted that no one is questioning Milken's character as an institution; she is a Jewish person who immigrated from Ukraine and knows how it is to be persecuted. She wants a Jewish community to thrive and the Jewish school to be here but stated that Milken currently has three-lane queuing on the existing campus; so imagine Casiano Road with one lane in and one lane out, spilling over onto a very narrow Mulholland Drive with six additional schools and a school on our campus. While Milken is presenting plans and ideas, her community is compiling specific safety and operational requests, and their representative will reach out to them early next month to begin negotiations. But what is needed right now is not a rushed decision. She noted that we need a productive dialogue; while they appreciate Milken's assurances, nothing is yet formalized or enforceable. She feels that she is now in a speeding car without brakes and that we need to slow down. We need to follow the speed limits and observe all the stop signs. Both sides should reach an agreement that ensures safety and protects students and residents before allowing them to proceed. For that reason, she respectfully asked that this committee maintain oversight of the negotiation process between Milken and her community, ensuring meaningful progress occurs toward a clear, enforceable agreement before any recommendation is made, and asked the committee to postpone the vote so this process can move forward responsibly.

Mr. Armbruster noted that they have had extensive meetings with the community, and as an example, the issue of evacuation plans is critically important to the community, as they're critically important to Milken as well. And he noted that they have proposed, in writing at least 3 different, very sophisticated evacuation plans that would be implemented for the school, and as a matter of fact, they would probably make it so that Milken has the most sophisticated evacuation plan of any school in the area for fire, earthquake, public safety, etc.

He concluded that if they give one segment of the community the right to try to negotiate a private, written agreement, they will have a lot of sub opposition from other people and will never get it done. And that is why he hopes that this board moves forward tonight. We will be committed to continuing working with all of the community, between now and the City Planning Commission, and thereafter.

Member Templeton referred back to the discussion above mentioned by Svetlana about San Ysidro, basically telling them they may have to resort to the private agreement that they had - that San Ysidro might have to resort to the private agreement that they had with Bel Air Park, and the city is telling us that they don't really know if there's anything they can do. She would hate to see this, and while she knows that Milken has the best of intentions, and she genuinely believes they are a great match, she would love to see them be able to get their 900 students without having it negatively impact the neighborhood at Casiano, and also the other people who use Mulholland. She noted that she would be in favor of approving the 900 *students*, subject to quantitative metrics that would trigger solutions, so that it could be quantified. If people in Cassiano must wait more than X minutes to get out, if traffic backs onto Mulholland more than however many feet, something like that, but she does not feel comfortable just saying, sure, you know, put 900 kids there, and let's hope for the best.

Before a roll call vote was taken on the motion from the committee to recommend approving the project with the condition that a mechanism for communication between the community neighbors and the school be set up by Milken... the Milken team will set up a formal communication mechanism between the community, HOA, and individuals, there was an objection to calling the question.

Member Kaden wanted to discuss further issues such as not being sure about approving 9 times the amount of the students, seeing safety and other issues that have been raised, and by people more knowledgeable...and he did not know what formal communication mechanism Milken would set up means. Mr. Armbruster responded that he proposed a permanent communication program.

Ms. Sarah Shulkind wanted to clarify that they did put in place a communication mechanism, a thorough one. They intend no matter what this committee decides, to continue communicating with the neighborhood. To keep the project on track, they are asking for an approval now, but that does not mean that they will not continue to meet with the neighborhood as they have almost every other week over the last year.

Member Navab asked her to quantify if any progress is being made, to which she noted that they met 16 times. He noted that the people still have these concerns and asked if she could say we are 3 inches closer than we were 16 meetings ago, to which Ms. Shulkind responded that they were definitely, She noted that a lot of what we see in this presentation is the result of those meetings, including the TDM plan that they proposed; rethinking where the traffic pulls in. They consider all suggestions and alter their plans. She asked the board to approve their request, because she has kids in mind, and the best time to start school would be in August... but even if the committee doesn't agree to that, they would continue to work with the neighborhood. She wants to be in conversation and to have something in writing through the TDM process that's enforceable.

Member Lawrence noted that the traffic issue is just not specifically addressed in the recommendation from the Planning and Land Use Committee. If we incorporated some sort of assurances, in terms of the traffic backup, because that really does have potential, wide-ranging impacts on not just Casiano, but for everyone else who relies on Mulholland to get to the 405 and wherever they are getting.

Dr. Longcore interpreted what has happened here that the applicant has said that they are producing a traffic plan that he believes is referred to by the acronym TDM, and that will become a condition of approval of the CUP in compliance with that traffic plan. Whether that traffic plan will meet the satisfaction of everybody, he noted that we have heard a lot of testimony and concerns about it; but that's the mechanism, and there will be in the application, or as is represented by the applicant right now, a traffic plan that will be enforceable that will be part of any CUP that goes forward. Mr. Armbruster confirmed this absolutely, noting that unlike San Ysidro, where you have a private agreement, here you have a publicly enforceable agreement.

Templeton would like to see it also incorporate metrics that would trigger a solution; she is open to approving it for the 900 subject to the TDM, and all Travis said regarding the TDM, but also that the TDM should include quantifiable metrics, where if not met would trigger specific action by Milken to reduce the number of cars. Kwan would include and support what Patricia suggested as an amendment to the motion because of the metrics. Member Navab responded we don't have metrics, so we cannot just say metrics.

Dr. Longcore reflected that he hears there are people who would be more comfortable voting for this if there was something that ensured that there was further development of an enforceable traffic plan before this gets approved. Roth agreed. Dr. Longcore noted that we're an advisory body that has for lack of a better word, moral weight with the city, and so the recommendation is... you could have a motion to amend from Bobby that the motion include the request that Milken continue to work on the traffic plan.

Dr. Longcore asked if he meant to say ...with the community, including the immediately adjacent most impacted parties, to develop an enforceable plan prior to going to the Planning Department's PLU Committee hearing. Templeton wanted to know how this works. Is it that in the process of the CUP that they figure out the traffic plan with the city or do they go to the city with a traffic plan and ask for sign-off from the city? Dr. Longcore noted, if he wasn't mistaken, they come with a proposal, but the city must approve it. Templeton would then say that that proposal must include certain metrics with respect to traffic on Mulholland and the time to exit Casiano, and that if those metrics are not met, they will reduce the number of cars coming onto campus. Mark Armbruster thinks that is what the city would require anyway; he thinks that would be an acceptable solution, for our recommendation. Templeton would like to hear from the Casiano community.

Mr. Ameet Keny noted that, he would like to clarify that although he is the president of one of the two HOAs in the Bel Air Park community, he is not actually representing the HOA, he is simply speaking as a homeowner and he does not want people to think that we are trying to get veto power over their proposal. He stated that we are, as Sarah said, in direct communication with her at the same time, and as many people on this committee have said, we are simply looking for some way to enforce some of these agreements, and

as this board has been focusing the traffic issue, he would say yes if we come to an agreement that is not only enforceable but can reduce the number of cars if these metrics are not met, then yes, we would certainly be on board with that. He stated that we are looking to work with Milken and to mitigate the effects of the number of cars, both in Casiano and Mulholland, not trying to stand in the way of the project but at the same time, noted that we need reassurance, and he doesn't think that is unreasonable. He also pointed out that nobody from Wise has spoken at this meeting, and of the two-lane entrance on Casiano in the mornings, the left lane is completely congested with people entering Wise to turn left in front of the kiosk and that congestion in the right lane will completely block entry into the community, which is why we're trying to come up with creative solutions. Thank you for allowing me to respond.

Dr. Longcore noted that the **amending motion** is to add language to the support that requests that the CUP include a TDM that has performance metrics for Casiano and Mulholland and mechanisms for their mitigation if those metrics are not met, and suggested adding, and encourage Milken to continue to work with the community and Council District 5 in that process. Dr. Longcore noted that *we* don't have the expertise to resolve them but rather can articulate that we would like to see this addressed, and that we strongly encourage both inside and outside the motion of continued development of those enforceable metrics that will go into the CUP to ensure good neighborliness into the future. Dr. Longcore noted that he can do this because this is not the main motion and asked if there was any objection to adding this amendment to the main motion by unanimous consent. Christensen seconded this amendment which Longcore accepted, if we can adopt this unanimously and go ahead and vote on the main motion, as amended, if no one objects. He did not need a rollcall on that amendment as it is not our final action. Our **final action** would be that with the amendment of this discussion about the traffic, recognizing it, and encouraging their further collaboration with the community immediately adjacent and affected community and Council District 5 as a party to those conversations, and to include the quantifiable metrics, for Casiano and Mulholland.

PLUC Motion: To recommend approving the project with the condition that a mechanism for communication between the community, neighbors, and the school be set up by Milken that Milken can demonstrate to the full board; the Milken team will set up a formal communication mechanism between the community, HOA and individuals.

Amending motion is to add language to the support that requests that the CUP include a TDM that has performance metrics for Casiano and Mulholland and mechanisms for their mitigation if those metrics are not met, and suggested adding, and encourage Milken to continue to work with the community and Council District 5 in that process.

The **motion as amended passed** by 20 yeses, 0 noes, 1 abstention by Holmes, 11 absent, 0 ineligible and 3 recusals from Members Barcohana, Ryan and Savage.

10. **11300 W CHALON ROAD DIR-2025-1457-ADJ-HCA ENV-2025-1458-CE ZA-2025-1457 (Revision of Approved Plans for ZA-2016-4114-ZAA)**
Initial Submittal Documents: Application, Findings, Notice of Exemption, Plans and Vicinity Map: <https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumber/ZA-2025-1457>

Case Filed 03/11/2025, Assigned 03/19/2025, Staff Assigned: Esteban Martorell;
Applicant: Matthew Tambor [Better Space Inc.] Rep: John Parker [Pacific Crest]

Project Description: **Present Use:** SFD; **Proposed Use:** SFD +ADU; **Action Requested:** ZAA for a front yard setback of 3’9” in lieu of 5’ for a second-floor addition above an existing garage with the identical front yard setback and an ADU conversion.
12/02/2025 PLUC Motion: To support the project.
Moved by PLU Committee Chair Kemp/Committee, **passed** 16-0-5, with 14 absences.

11. **1709 N FERRARI DRIVE ZA-2025-4707-ADJ**

Initial Submittal Documents: Application, Findings, Parcel & Vicinity Maps:

<https://planning.lacity.gov/pdiscaseinfo/search/casenumbr/ZA-2025-4707-ADJ>

Case Filed 08/20/2025; **Assigned** 08/27/2025; **Staff Assigned:** Esther Serrato

Applicant: Annette Kleinbard [Annette Kleinbard Revocable Living Trust]

Representative: John Parker [Pacific Crest Consultants]

Project Description: **Present Use:** SFD; **Proposed Use:** SFD (no change)

Action Requested: Adjustment to permit reduction in lot area for the subject property requirement to 14,000 sf in lieu of 20,000 sf in the RE20 Zone in conjunction with a LLA with 1642 San Ysidro Drive.

12/02/2025 PLUC Motion: To support the project.

Travis introduced his item, owner described as a cleanup all on paper; ownership and property size. It belongs to a neighbor. It cleans up some access issues; the driveway crosses property lines... cleans that as well. All parties agreed to it. The motion was **moved** by PLU Committee Chair Kemp/Committee, and **passed** 18-0-3, with 14 absences.

12. **Public Forum for Insurance Commissioner Candidates - DEFERRED**

Discussion and possible motion to organize public event for candidates for Insurance Commissioner to focus on issues of risk, affordability, and insurability in the Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone.

13. **Van Nuys Airport Citizens Advisory Council - DEFERRED**

Discussion and possible motion to support CF 25-1514 (Raman and Padilla) requesting City Council be able to review changes to the makeup of the Van Nuys Airport Citizens Advisory Council and that the current content of the bylaws of the advisory council be consolidated and posted on the LAWA website.

14. **Good of the Order & Adjournment**

Dr. Longcore thanked everyone for their being here and keeping a quorum.

Meeting adjourned at **10:25 PM**.

The next meeting will be held “virtually” on Wednesday February 25th at 7:00 P.M.